royse:mmb;endiv:pprobrtheodore:wp:10-30-92

RECEIVED

MAR 1 1 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

92-254

BRIAN & JOYCE BENDER 199 WATERS ROAD NAME CARFORNER 94850

Suctings, 92110178

We strangly object to the offension, highly misleading add that the FCC is allowing some candidates for feetal office to run showing salleged third-themester aborted fetuses, third thind-themester aborted fetuses, third thinester abortions account for only .01 present of all U.S. abortions and account allowed only to save the life of the mother. If the add are run these facts must be included. Sincerely built facts must be included. Joyce Grian Budge

ie, di Cegier rec'h <u>O</u> Mande D'E

## American Canvas Co., Inc. **Denver Tent Company**



EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

TELEPHONE 303/399-3232 FAX (303) 300 XXXX 399-1362

MAR 1 1 1993

October 30, 1992

TEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION RECEIVED OFFICE OF THE SHOW THAT

4004 GRAPE STREET, DENVER, COLO. 80216

NOV 2 1992

Mr. Milton Gross Political Programming Branch Mass Media Bureau FCC Washington, DC 20554

POLITICAL BRANCH

92-254

Dear Sir:

This is a letter of protest regarding the FCC policy that does not permit a television station the right to edit or refuse to show certain political advertising. Matt Noah, a political candidate for the U.S. Senate has been using pictures of aborted fetuses in his television advertising. Although the various stations have put their viewers on notice as to the nature of the advertisement before they show it, nevertheless it is shown. It is offensive and distasteful and in my mind how it can serve the public good is a question yet to be answered.

My hope is that the ads prove to be counter productive to Mr. Noah's political aspirations, and that the FCC will change their regulations to protect the viewing public from unreasoning zealots.

Very truly yours,

Ernest Hagler President

EH: km

a. o	Capins rec'd_	0
2	399 <b>:</b>	

## RECEIVED

MAR 1.1 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

92-254

November 1, 192.

Dear Mr Gross -92110216

Under current FCC regulations, felevision are prohibited grown charging political advertisements or requiring to show them because of questionable on affairing content.

I oppose these quideliers & an particularly appealed at the Matt

Noak commercials here in Colorado Showing dead before. These ads

are shown at all times of day of right including hours when families are the primary felevision andience. I feel that there should be girteliers for what is shown a that both offensive unique of any of memory of land ast be a part of memory family vieleing.

Shown a that both offensive what is should be girteliers for what is shown a family vieleing.

Shown a familiary of memory family vieleing.

No. of Copies rec'd 5 LECASCDE

## RECEIVED10517

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

IMAR 1 1 1993

FEDERAL COmmuniCATIONS COmmiSSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

To whom it may concern:

92-254

	ů			10001
	<u> </u>	I + <u>ագող եղ նուց_+դո</u> ւն <u>i</u>	am umitiem to monst	nelumno
	<u> </u>			
_				
. *	τ			
	•			
··· {· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
•	<del>_</del>			
7 ·				<u> </u>
7		7		
	1-2			
<u> </u>				
_				
			4	.=
-	- <del>-</del>			
네 <del>폭트 :</del>				
		· ·		
_				
<del>-</del>			•	

# RE

Nov S

6.0 T N RECEIVED

MAR 111993 318
92110318
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

92-254

November 4, 1992

FCC 1919 "M" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 Attn: Milton Gross

Dear Mr. Gross:

Shame on you and your colleagues for opening the door to the type of political advertising I saw on KMGH-TV, Channel 7, the evening of November 2, 1992. The ad was run by Matt Noah, Christian Pro-Life Party. This ad ran with a picture of Ben Knighthorse Campbell, because he is Pro-Choice and running for the Senate, on one side of the screen and a picture of an aborted fetus on the other. This type of advertising has gone to far over the ethical boundaries thanks, in part, to you and your colleagues! Are you going to let people, like Matt Noah, set the stage for "anything goes" in advertising??

Sincerely,

M. Goss

Lakewood, CO

cc: KMGH-TV

123 Speer Blvd. Denver, CO 80203 Attn: John Proffitt

No. of Copies recid 

LISTA B C D E

92110319

9 9 **09 M** 

WESTING.

Milton Gross, Chief Political Programming Branch Mass Media Bureau FCC Washington, D.C. 20554 1100 Grape St. Denver CO 80220 Nov. 3. 1992

RECEIVED

MAR 1 1 1993

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Sir :

I protest the present guidelines that prevent television stations from refusing to show political advertisements that are offensive. Here in Colorado a candidate for the U.S. Senate is showing distorted ads of fetuses. These do not appear to be aborted, but these are apparently third trimester stillbirths.

No matter the political ambitions of individuals, these are not suitable for family viewing. If you can't stop these type of ads, at

### 92110341

NOV 9 3 21 PH 192 2209 Mest Magnatia Count

MMB Port Calline, Calorada

Mouember 2, 1992.

Milton Grass, Chief

Dalitical Pragnamming Branch

Mass media Bureau RECEIVED 2254

DCC

Mar 11 1993

Dean Lie: DEPRI COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

To and marting to the secretary

Dannel Maritina Control of the secretary

To and martina Control of the secretary

To and martina Control of the secretary

Dannel Maritina Control of the secretary

Danne

these ads should not be shown at a time when impressionable Children can see them. I really see no reason to show these alleged fetures an TV at all when abartian is a private issue, not a political issue. Noah shows no consideration for my apinian and my nights'

2645 S. Marion Street

92110343

RECEIVED 9

Denver, CO 80210-5930 3 November, 1992

	F.C.C. Mass Media Bureau	RECEIVED	Q	
17				18
/ <u>v -</u>				
· <del></del> ,	<u> </u>			
<u> </u>				
·•				
-				
do.				
•				
<u>-</u>				
•				
.* -	Programme Annual Control			
<b>@</b> 2				
<b>L</b>				
,				
τ.				
, 1 , 1 , 20				
·				
, "f" - -				
		<u>, -</u>		
\				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
· ·				
	,			
· ·				
<u> </u>				
h .				

3513 S. 10th St. Fargo, ND 58104 701/235-6550 92110373 92-254

4 November 1992

Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW Washington, DC 20054 MAR 1 1 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATION OFFICE OF THE SECRETAL

Dear Sir:

Recently, a candidate for the U.S. Senate seat vacated by the death of Sen. Quentin Burdick, has been airing a series of political commercials which I find to be offensive and inappropriate for public airwaves. This candidate, Darold Larson, is running on a single issue: anti-abortion. His ads are offensive for the following reasons:

Can they be stopped? Can they be modified? Can they at least be limited to times when children are not awake (such as after midnight)? Would it be legal for a candidate who is anti-pornography to run ads featuring hard-

11-4BECEIVED

MAR 1.1 1999 2110403 Dear FEXPARTEOR LATE FILED F SERAL COMMUNICATION 42-254 I am dismayed at your regulations that would permit such disgusting graphic advertising that the Right - to-Life conwittee is putting out.

92110454

92-254 P. 0. BOX 207

Mr. & Mrs. Kenneth Nagy 15 Van Ness Drive Brick, New Jersey 08723 (908) 920-1118 MAR 1 1 1993

OFFICE OF THE SETTIFF AND

SE 12 3 00 PM '92

September 2, 1992

Dear FCC:

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

This letter is in response to the ABC Nightline Report on Monday, August 31. I was totally outraged to learn that, very shortly, a commercial will air in New Jersey depicting a blown up fetus in support of the Pro Life position of a certain candidate running for office. I believe his name is Bailey. This commercial will run any time and without advance warning to parents. Apparently the FCC has approved this totally distorted comparison between abortion and the genocide during NAZI Germany.

First, I strongly believe abortion is a woman's very private and personal decision. Second, this commercial does not belong on television as an attempt to emotionally sway voters and obtain a political office for "ANY" candidate.

I am appalled and angry this election year. The removal of a woman's right to choose has been made a Republican crusade and is just another tactic to keep women in "their place" once again. It is just a smokescreen for all in the Senate and Congress to avoid concentrating on other real problems facing our country. The Democrats and Republicans are so busy beating up each other that they have forgotten their real purpose. This is, to do what the voters pay them to do. To work together to solve our nation's problems. The key words here are "WORK TOGETHER".

Everyone is throwing the term "Family Values" around these days. I feel that those whose political careers benefit from this kind of negative campaigning especially on this particular emotional issue during an election year know nothing of VALUES period.

I strongly urge you to stop this commercial before it is aired in New Jersey. Pro Choice or Pro Life!!! It doesn't matter at this point. Our children are what is important and they should not be exposed to this propaganda to benefit either party.

Sincerely,

Marlene S. Nagy

92-254

#### Kathy A. Nolasco 2911 South Richfield Street Aurora, Colorado 80013 (303) 690-3118

92110550 RECEIVED

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

MAR 1.1.1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

November 12, 1992

Milton Gross, Chief Political Programming Branch Mass Media Bureau, FCC

Dear Mr. Gross:

Washington, DC 20554

How can this happen? The ads which Matt Noah recently ran on television were at the very least misleading! This was definitely "false advertising" and should not have aired at all!

I am strongly pro-choice and it appalls me that this subject is a political issue. The right to abortion is a fundamental right because the possibility of pregnancy exists as a result of incest and/or rape. No one should be forced to continue a pregnancy as a result of an attack.

When this type of advertisement is on television for the public to see, it is not only disturbing and insensitive but a misrepresentation as well. The ads were showing dead fetuses which Noah claims are the result of everyday abortions. It is evident from their size and stage of development that these fetuses are nearly full-term and may be the result of third trimester stillbirths. They clearly do not represent first trimester abortions, which comprise 91 percent of all abortions performed in the United States. (This information is available from Planned Parenthood of the Rocky Mountains.)

Please take whatever action is necessary to stop this type of misrepresentation in the future. I can assure you that I will do the same.

Sincerely,

Kocky A. Nolasco

Kathy A. Nolasco Pro-Choice Advocate

/s

## 92090504 92-254

### EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

FCC 90 Church St Room 1309X New York, NY 10007 Margaret & William Bruno 95 Woodland Drive Brick, NJ 08723

RECEIVED

September 1, 1992

MAR 1.1 1993

SEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear FCC:

Rer

After seeing Nightline last night, we are very upset that graphic anti-abortion political ads, depicting mangled fetuses, may be broadcast in our area. Though we are opposed to censorship, we have gone to much effort to sheild These ads will be our children from violence on television. broadcast at any time with no warning, which will make it impossible for us to allow our children to watch television without taking a chance that they may see these ads. have no objection to the other anti-abortion ads, but we think we have a right to avoid these ads . At the very least, we think we should be advised of the dates and times that these ads will be broadcast, so that we will be able to protect our children from them. We think any same parents would agree that this material is inappropriate and possibly damaging if viewed by a child.

Anti-abortion political ads on television

Word bring

Margaret D Bruno William N Bruno

(parents of 4 % 7 year old daughters)

RECEIVED
Sep 17 8 21 M '92
MMB EF 8 21 M '92

ોઇ. of Copies rec'd <u>ઉ</u> List A B C D E

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Common Carrier Bureau New York Field Office 90 Church Street, Room 1309X New York, N. Y. 10007

September 11, 1992

Federal Communications Commission Formal Complaints and Investigations Branch 1250 23rd Street, NW, Room 107 Washington, D. C. 20554

Dear Sir:

We have received the attached complaint and are forwarding it to your office for your attention and appropriate disposition.

Syncerely

Rudolph Bruno, Chief,

New York Common Carrier Field Office

SEP 17 8 21 III '92

15 cv

EX PARTE UR LATE FILED

92-254 RECEIVEDMMB

MAR 1.1 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRET 9 0 4 5 1

7715 Fourth Ave. Apt 1E Brooklyn, N.Y. 11209

September 1, 1992	<i>f</i>	TEST ST.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	<u> </u>	
•	4	
15	<u>*</u>	-
-	·	
*	· ·	

I am a fourth or fifth generation American, a product (through no one's fault) of a one parent family, a proud mother of 6 adult children, the oldest of which was also a product of a one parent family, the second oldest was adopted by my brother and his wife because their father took off for parts unknown, and my youngest is alive because I do not believe in abortion. However, I also believe I do not have the right to make such decisions for other people.

I live my life by my rules, and I firmly believe each person should be able to do the same. I am also a Christian, but I do not believe I (or anyone else for that matter) has the right to say everyone in this country must be a Christian, or live by Christian teachings. That's what makes this country so great. We don't all have to be of the same persuasion, religious, political, sexual, etc. My mother used to say there were only two ways to do some things, her way and the wrong way. I am proud that I am not as biased as she was. What may be right for me, may not be right for someone else. This Republican candidate reminded me very much of my mother's thinking. His idea of Christian living is the only right one, and he will do whatever he thinks necessary to be in a position to enforce his way.

Although I refused to have an abortion I am strongly pro choice. Even though I realized the Republican Party's stand is pro life, I thought I could live with it because they would only try to show their way, through education, social programs, provided with love. Not with horror, and possible fear that we must all live by someone else's idea of what is right or wrong.

All I could think of last night after watching the show, was that some poor woman who might have had an abortion, for whatever reason, and was feeling guilty, might see that horrible ad, and be pushed to the brink of her sanity. Let these people who have elected to have an abortion be judged by God, with His Love, and not by humans with all our failings.

I earnestly hope to read or see on the News that you do not endorse

### RECEIVED

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Oct 21 | 29 M '92

92100678

MMB Fact.
COME.
INVEST:

October 13, 1992 5401 Wallace Drive Edinboro, Pa. 16412

**RECEIVED** 

MAR 1 1 1993

FCC 1919 M Street N.W. Washington DC 20554 Attn: Chairman FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear FCC Chairman:

I am writing regarding the abortion commercials showing aborted fetuses. I think that this is a disgusting show of politics. I don't wish to ever view that again and I don't think that television channels should be forced to air such things.

Whatever need to be done to change it so that the television channel has the option to air such disturbing things, should be done.

I don't want to see this or any commercial similar to this ever again, and I sure don't want my children seeing this either.

Sincerely,

William B. Daniels

William B. Da

Co. of Copies rec'd 

Cat A B C D E

October 13, 1992 5401 Wallace Drive Edinboro, PA 16412

FCC 1919 M Street N.W. Washington DC 20554 Attn: Chairman

Dear FCC Chairman:

I want you to know that I think it is terrible to make the television channels show advertisements that have aborted fetuses for political or any other reasons. I personally think that it is a terrible thing to view and I don't like my children to see such things. I have one child that gets nightmares easily and seeing that is something that **q**ffects him.

I would like to see things changed. It's bad enough that such terrible television shows are on at all times, but the commercials shouldn't be as bad, and if they are, the TV channel should have the option as to whether they want to air it or not.

To restate my position, I no longer want to view aborted fetuses on television. I think that the television channels should not have to air such things, even for political reasons.

Feel free to contact me if you would like. I feel very strongly on this position.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Daniels

92100784 September 15, 1992

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen.

MAR 1 1 1993

As the mother of three young childern, I was OUTRAGED as I FEDERALICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY recently watching "Nightline" on ARC. The topic was "Graphic Advertising in Political Campaigns". My anger was based not on the fact that commercials such as the "Anti-Abortion" commercials run by Congressman Bailey of Indiana are being shown on television. It was based on the fact that any program, including news casts that show graphic, potentially disturbing photographs or film footage, generally first issue a warning of such graphics, allowing me as a parent to exersise my discretion as to whether or not it is appropriate for MY childern.

Commercials however, whether it be the "Anti-Abortion" commercials or the "Feed the Hungry of the World" and other topics, do not appear to be required nor do they appear willing to voluntarily issue similar warnings. Therefore, in my opinion, since commercials come on so quickly and it is next to impossible to change the channel or turn off the television quickly enough, these types of commercials deny me the parental right to protect my childern from seeing graphic and disturbing photographs that could cause serious mental harm and distress.

I am requesting that you, as politicians and FCC commissioners representing my interests and those of my childern and community, change the regulations that allow these commercials to run anytime of the day with no warnings. I am not requesting that you deny any individual, group or organization the right to run any commercial of their choice, I am only asking that when those commercials having the potential to cause severe mental distress due to their graphic nature, be required 🖈 carry the same warnings that any program or movie ect would be required to carry.

No. of Copies recid	D_
List A B C D E	

If this regulation is not changed, and childern suffer mental damage and distress due to this type of "Advertising", I expect that thousands of law suits will be filed against advertisers, television networks and possibly the FCC itself. All of these law suits will tie up our judicial system even more than it is now. However, you can prevent all this by acting now!!! Change the regulations and require commercials of a graphic nature to be proceeded by a discretionary warning.

Thank you for your immediate attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

Lisa R. Baugh 402 East 34th St. Tacoma, WA 98404 (206)383-2827

tion R. Baugh.

cc: President George Bush
Commissioners of the Pierce County Regional Office of the FCC
Senator Slade Gordon
Senator Brock Adams
Conressman Norm Dicks
Govenor Booth Gardner of the State of Washington
Govenor Bill Clinton of the State of Arkansas

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### Field Operations Bureau

Ms. Lisa R. Baugh 402 East 34th St. Tacoma, WA 98404 Case No. ST-92-00067

Date: October 19, 1992

#### TO SPEED OUR REPLY

We are using this informal way to handle your inquiry and we hope you agree that a prompt response is more important than formality.

Please refer to the item(s) checked below for your reply.

The enclosed material should adequately respond to your inquiry. Any further questions you may have should be directed to above address.

The information you have requested or your complaint cannot be handled by this office. Therefore, your letter has been directed to:

Mass Media Bureau

Complaints and Investigations Branch

Mass Media Bureau
Complaints and Investigations Branch
Room 6218
2025 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Any additional correspondence regarding this matter should be forwarded directly to that address.

Other:

Gary P. Soulsby
Engineer In Charge

cc: MMB